



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/485,071	09/485,071 02/03/2000		NORBERT O. REICH	30794.30USWO	1444
22462	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
GATES &			LEWIS, PATRICK T		
HOWARD I 6701 CENTI		'E WEST, SUITE 105	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				1623	
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/09/000	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/485,071	REICH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrick T. Lewis	1623		

	Patrick T. Lewis	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in est for Continued				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(c)               ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected ciairis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31 and 46.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 36,37,39,43-45,4	7 and 48.						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other: Applicant's remarks regarding the restrion requirement have been noted; however, as noted in the Office Action dated October 29, 2004, claims 36, 37, 39, 43-45 and 47-48 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. The restriction requirement was made Final in the Office Action dated Application.							
		SUPERVISORY PATEN					

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner disagrees with applicant's characterization of the prior art. Flynn teaches links between the instant CpG dinucleotide and tumor development. The substrates of Flynn were designed to mimic DNA transcriptional cis elements previously reported to have cytosine C-5-metylated regulation (page 7308). The rate-limiting step for these substrates is the methylation step itself. An essential role for the control of methylation patterns by the DCMTase has been shown by specific gene disruption in the mouse. Amplification of DCMTase expression by an exogenous mammalian DCMTase gene induces tumorigenic transformation of NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts; correspondingly, human neoplastic cells and cells derived from different stages of colon cancer express up to 200-fold higher levels of DCMTase than normal. DCMTase activity contributes substantially to tumor development in a mouse model of intestinal neoplasia.

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600